We negate the resolution; The United States should lift its embargo against Cuba. This round should be assessed via cost benefit analysis

**Contention 1: Easing Restrictions**

**Claim: Easing sanctions has only yielded to more religious prosecutions**

(Bandow 16)The Obama administration has continued its effort to expand contact between the U.S. and Cuba by easing restrictions on travel, exports, and export financing. Commerce Secretary Penny Pritzker spoke of “building a more open and mutually beneficial relationship.” Even in the best of times the Castro’s have never been friends of faith in anything other than themselves. “Serious religious freedom violations continue in Cuba, despite improvements for government-approved religious groups.” “the government continues to detain and harass religious leaders and interfere in religious groups’ internal affairs, and prevent democracy and human rights activists from participating in religious activities.” (Valader 16) He continues that there was a 1,000% increase in overall religious liberty violations from 2014 to 2015.

**Impact 1: Civil unrest between the US and Cuba**

(Skoloff 10)As the Obama administration slowly chipped away at the embargo, the religious prosecutions increased which means if the embargo is lifted there will be a spike in religious prosecutions for Christians in Cuba. This is against US Ideals of freedom of religion and will in turn cause civil tention between both countries shortly after the ban is lifted which can create tensions between the countries yet again.

**Contention 2: Environmental Harms**

Claim: The embargo promotes environmental sustainability

(Goode 15)As a student at Havana University, Dr. Pina took part in the first oceans survey in Jardines de la Reina (Gardens of the Queen) after the Cuban government established a 367-square-mile marine preserve here in 1996, tightly restricting tourism in the preserve and banning all fishing except for lobster. (Bouchet 16) More than three million people a record traveled to Cuba in 2015, with visits by US residents growing by 77 perecent.

The embargo, preventing many American tourists from visiting cuba, has kept the coral reefs at prime condition. However, if trade sanctions are repealed, tourism will become a major market for Cuba. Therefore, coral health will not be taken into account leading to its downfall.

**Impact 1: Biodiversity of the oceans collapse**

Coral reefs are part of the foundation of the ocean food chain. Nearly half the fish the world eats make their homes around them. Hundreds of millions of people worldwide — by some estimates, 1 billion across [Asia](http://www.csmonitor.com/tags/topic/Asia" \t "_self) alone — depend on them for their food and their livelihoods. Because Coral reefs are essential to the marine food chain, their extinction would essentially cause a ripple effect wiping out many more species that cannot survive without them. With Cuban coral reefs facing extinction with the repealing of sanctions, it could lead to economic unrest that is far worse than the costs we pay for keeping the embargo right now. The whole fish market will collapse due to lack of bio diversity and many other species, even on land, can suffer from this extinction effect.

**Contention 3: Foreign Aid**

“The embargo on Cuba is the most comprehensive set of US sanctions on any country, including the other countries designated by the US government to be state sponsors of terrorism”. As (Rennack 2015) reports, the embargo prohibits foreign aid “to the present government of Cuba.”  Lifting the embargo would allow foreign aid to flow to the authoritarian and autocratic Cuban government. In an empirical study of 97 countries, Ahmed 2012 finds that providing foreign aid to an authoritarian government strengthens its regime and reduces the probability of government turnover.

Strengthening this oppressive government has three impacts.

***First,*** an increase in political silencing. The Cuban government censors opposing political viewpoints, and hinders everyone from expressing who they are. This leads to a non-progressive society that will degrade the lives of citizens by preventing them from accessing all of their human rights.

***Second*** an increase in violence crimes. (Svolik 2012) reports in an empirical study that authoritarian repression increases military intervention when there are threats to those in power. Making Cuba’s regime stronger therefore makes military conflict a more viable method of control.

By voting Neg you are voting for an increase in Safety, Healthier biosphere, and continuing our success as an individual country engaging in individual commerce, without sacrificing morals and ethics.